G.R.S. [X—5]

Previous references.
Muz. 17-94-Sub I
" 508-08 (1-14) II

(1923.
Muzrai)

File No. 182-23
Serial Nos. 1-13

Later references.
Muz 269-25-1-3
" 96-26-1-4
" 5-8

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 13

Part B for March 1924

SUBJECT

Requests sanction to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor to contest the suit O.S. No. 7 of 23-24 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore re possession of certain lands endowed to Sri Venu-Gopalaswami temple at Ukkalgere.

Sl. 7. No. 1084 dated 18.2.1924 To the Muz. Comr.

Instructed the Public Prosecutor to move the court and obtain an adjournment

G. R. S. 11] 1923 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mys)

NOTES.

File No

FILE HEADING

Suit against Govt. instituted by Rangachar, Inamdar of Ukkalgere, about the possession of certain lands endowed to Sri Venugopalaswami temple at the place.

From

The Mys: Commr. in Mysore

No. C 340 of 22-23 Dated 8·1·24 Received 9·1·24

Diary No. 821 Enclos Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the Ch: Secretary to Govt, Mys Commr, Dy. Commr and the Amildar of T Narsipur have been impleaded as Defendants in the suit, and forwards a copy of his letter to the Dy: Commr requesting him to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor to contest the suit O S No 7 of 23-24 on the file of the Dt. Judge's Court, Mysore

NOTES AND ORDERS.

24·1·24

Sl 2 Letter no. XX/1324 from the Dt Judge, Mysore, to the Chief Secretary to Govt in the above matter.

It will be seen from the Mys Commr's letter to the D. C. Mysore, that the latter has been requested to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor to

NOTES—First Sheet.

Muzrai
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 182 of 192

Serial No.

contest the suit on behalf of the defendants 1 to 4 mentioned in the plaint, ~~&~~ to ~~be~~ place at his disposal all available papers and information and to obtain a short adjournment, if deemed necessary. In the meantime the Dy: Commr has been requested to furnish all the information called for by the M C. in the matter.

2 So, no action appears called for here.

3 As regards the Distt Judge's letter to the Ch. Secretary, it is requested that the original letter should be returned duly endorsed.

Subd for orders.

10 1-24.

SD
10·1·24

Rev. Secy. 4 Are there no papers relating to the Com. In the plaint, reference is made to a G.O.?

Secy.

5. The index of file-headings of ~~22-23~~ 22-23 & 23-4 has been consulted and there appear to be no papers relating to the case. The G.O. referred to in the plaint is C 34 of 22 23 and this appears to be an order of the Muz. Commr.

10.1.24

NOTE—Contn. sheet

SD
11/1/24

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 1502 of 1923.

Serial No.

6. If appd, a report will be called for from the Muz. Commr as to the facts of the case. In the meanwhile the summons from the Court, addressed to the Chief Secretary is to be answered

11.1.24.

Re-Secy, For perusal

8. (A) The summons will be taken & the duplicate sent with endorsement to the Court

KR 17.

11 Member

17.1.24.

463/18.1.24

REVENUE
23 JAN 1924
SECRETARY.

9 (A) This may be done.

10. The Muz. Commr. may be asked to send a full report of the facts of the case, [illegible] forthwith. Pending the receipt of the report & orders thereon, the Govt. Pleader may be asked to move the Court for an adjournment of the case, if it comes up for hearing in the [illegible]

11 The Muz. Commr.'s report should be [illegible] in [illegible] of the 15th Jan.

24/1.

KR 13/

drafts

23.1.24

12. Two drafts, one to the Muz: Commr. & the other to the Distt Judge, are subd.

23.1.24

one

23.1.24

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - GPB—3750—1/23

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 182 of 1923.

Serial No.

3 The Distt Judge Mysore. Letter no. 1073 d/ 2-1-24

Returns the original letter no IX/1324 d/ 4.1.24 duly endorsed -

4. The Muz: Commr., letter no. 1076 d/ 4-1-24

Intimates the above, approves of his action in asking the Public Prosecutor to defend the case on behalf of Govt & calls for a report of the full facts of the case -

5 ~~F~~ From the Muz: Commr., letter no. C. 340 of 22-23 d/ 26-1-24 -

Forwards copies of the Dy. Commrs report and the S. D. O's report relating to the ownership of the suit lands: and states that the case has been adjourned to 4-2-24 & that he would submit a copy of the defence filed in the Court for the inform of Govt after the same is recd from the D.C.

13. All the ver: records in the case are reported to have been sent to the Public Prosecutor for drawing up the defence statement.

14 A full report of the facts of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

case, called for from here, are contained in the Dy: Comr's and S D.O's reports, copies of which have been forwarded by the Muz: Comr. – They go to show that the suit lands are included in the lands endowed to the temple by the grandfather of the plaintiff Rangachar & that they were only under the management of the donor's family. The S. D O reports that Rangachar was not able to adduce any evidence to show that the lands belonged to him –

15 It is also reported that the temple has many devotees in the Sosale & Narsipur hoblies & is in need of urgent repairs to the extent of Rs 2000 –

16. It is reported that the case has been adjourned to 4-2-24. A further report after this date may be awaited.

Subd for orders – with refce to para 10 supra.

SD
29.1.24

29/1/24

769
30.1.24

2nd member) 17 [illegible]
Neither in D.C.'s letter nor in S.D.O's report
~~[illegible]~~ [illegible]

R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat	Muz. File No. 182 of 1923.

Serial No

The Commr's lr of 5th Jan [illegible] [illegible] contained ~~full~~ history of the case. The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in any a reply to the D.C. in certain [illegible] which reply was called for from him.

~~He~~ 18 The Mur Commr may again be asked to send a full & self-contained report about the facts of the case.

19. Pending the receipt of the report & the orders of Govt thereon, the Petitioner may be asked to move the Court for an adjournment of the case.

25/2

16-3-24.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

M. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192 .

Serial No. 1

18·2·24

Forwards copy of letter from the D.C. Mysore, with a copy of the [illegible] intimating that original suit No 7 of 23-24 on the file of the Distt Judge's Court, Mysore stands adjourned to 4·3·24 and requests that the records on the subject may be sent for refce.

n. As the defence statement has to be filed on the 4th proximo, ~~requests~~ the draft of which has to be drawn up by the Public Prosecutor with refce to certain old papers on the subject, requests that they may be sent early.

u. Muz. Files 17-94 (I & II) are put up and the orders referred to are flagged. The latest file on the subject Muz 508-08, the correspondence relating to which ~~h~~ was transferred to the M.C., is also put up.

v. The ~~current file~~ current file on the subject viz 182-23 was subd to Member

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 182 of 1923

Serial No.

on 30-1-24.

23. Subd for orders, as to whether the papers wanted by the M.C. urgently may be sent to him.

14-2-24.

SD
14/2/24

383
14-2-24

2nd member)

24. [illegible] [illegible] order [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible]

15-2

16-2-24.

25. Draft letter to the Muz: Commr., according to the Member's minutes in page 6 of the note, is subd.

SD
16/2

26. Issue
16-2-24.

7

To the Muzrai Commr. No. 1084 d. 18-2-24.
Request him to obtain & submit a full & self-contained report about the facts of the case & to instruct the Public Prosecutor, Mysore, to move the Court and obtain an adjournment pending the subn. of such a report and issue of orders of Govt thereon.

To the Public Prosecutor, Mysore. No. 1085 d. 18-2-24.
Fwd. copy of the above for infn.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

R. S. III 2]

Muzrai
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 182 of 1923

Serial No

8 From the Muz. Cmr. No. C 340 d/ 25-2-24 – states that the necy. action has been taken as desired in S/7 and requests that the files asked for in S/6 may be sent to him

27 Files sent with D.O. No. 1193 d/ 7.3.24. Sd/ Sadasiva Rao.

7-3-24

28 Await report

9.3.24.

9 From the Muz: Comr., letter no. C 9 d/ 23-24 d/ 7-3-24.
recd with M.C's memo no. C. 340 d/ 8/3/24

Returns the refce files sent and forwards the Dy: Comr's full and self-contained report called for in this office letter no. 1084 d/ 18/2/24 -

29. Reference Member's minutes in paras 17 to 19 of the note -

30. It will be seen from para 6 of the Dy: Comr's letter that a stay order was issued by the Dy: Comr. to the Amildar on 18.7.23 against the procs. taken by him in respect of the lands under refce stated to have

NOTE—Contn. sheet

to have been endowed to the temple. In spite of the stay order and pending further enquiry into the matter, Rangachar has preferred to file a suit against Govt.

31. It will be seen from para 9 of the letter, that the case has been adjourned to the 19th instant on condition of the Public Prosecutor filing the defence statement on this date and that the judge is not likely to grant any more adjournments.

32. In para 10 of his letter the D. C. states that as the time given by the court is too short for drawing up the defence statement and obtaining the approval of Govt. to it before presenting it to the court, and requests that a refce. may be made by Govt. to the Dt. Judge for a further adjournment.

Subd. for orders. A draft is also subd. for approval or other orders.

11/3/24 [illegible]
11-3-24

33) Dr. appd. After issue, the Public Prosr. may be asked to send up draft statement before the 25th inst. so that Govt. may have time to consider it.

11 3 24

26
12.3.24

- 2nd member draft approved [illegible]

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III.2]

Muzrai
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 182 of 1923.

Serial No.

10 To the Dt. Judge, Mysore. No. 12 dd. 17.3.24. Requests that the case may be adjourned to some date after 1st May next as the defence stt. cannot be prepared & filed on 19th March.

Copy to Muzrai Cr. No. d) 18/3/24

~~11 From the Muzrai Commr. No. C 340 dd 11.3.24~~
~~Submits for appl. & return draft copy of written statt. prepared by the Public Prosecutor to be filed before the Dist. Judge of Mysore.~~

~~Submitted as directed in the Second Member's minute dated the 17th March 23. (Page 10)~~

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 182 of 1923

Serial No

11

Suit re lands endowed to the Sri Venugopala-swamy temple at Srirangarajpur -
From the Mug. Comr., letter No C 340 d/ 11 3 24.

Forwards a draft copy of the written statement prepared by the Public Prosecutor and sent by ~~recd. thro'.~~ the Dy. Commr., and as it has to be filed in the Court on the 19th instt., ~~he~~ requests that the approval of the Govt. Advocate to the same may be obtained & returned by the 16th instant.

35) The file on the subject (Mug 182-23) was subd. to the member yesterday (11 3 24) with a draft to the Dt. Judge requesting him to adjourn the case to some date in May to enable the D.C. to file the written statement.

Vide orders of the Member of the 17th March 23

36 The draft of a written statement prepared by the Public Prosecutor has been just received ~~sent~~ & the M. Commr. requests that it may be scrutinized by the Govt Advocate before sanction is accorded to its being filed in the court. All this ~~re~~ probably requires some time & it may not be possible to return the staff to the M.C. before the 16th, as desired by him -
subd. for orders.

SD
12/3/24

12/3/24

37) Dr orders in continuation
(2nd member).

12 3 24

NOTE—Contn sheet.

279
13.3.24.

30045

38) The file is resubmitted as directed in the Second Member's minute dated the 17th March 24 (Page 10). May the file be refd. to the Govt. Advocate for examining the Rajah's written statt. prod. by the Muzrai Commr.?

(A)

Sd

18/3/24

462
18/3/24

2nd member)

Sd
18/3/24

(A) 39) Yes. This may be done

Sd
19/3

K
20/3

40) Forwarded unofficially with compliments to the Govt. Advocate for favour of examining the Rajah's written statement and remarks thereon.

20/3/24

Sd
for Secretary to Govt.
Rev. Dept.

41 I have gone through the records and have scrutinized the

REV. SECRETARIAT
Muz
3 MAR 1924
GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
1 MAR 1924
MUZRAI

U.O. no 19-23

no. N 2754/23-24
22/3/24

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai
Secretariat

Muz. File No. 182 of 1923.

Serial No.

the written statement. I think it is quite suitable and may be approved.

Govt. Advocate
22/3/24.

ST
Put up draft with the written st. as approd
24-3-24

12 From the Muz: Comr., letter no. C. 3406/23-24 d/ 22-3-24

Forwards copies of letters from the D.C. Mysore intimating that the case stands adjourned to 1.4.24 for defence, and requests that the defence statement may be returned early after approval.

(2) It will be seen from the Dy: Comr's letter no. 9 d/ 21-3-24, copy of which has been sent by the Muz: Comr., that in spite of the letter issued from here to the Distt. Judge requesting him to adjourn the case to some date after 1.5.24, he adjourned the case only to 1.4.24 for defence and said that the D.C. should be prepared to pay the costs to the Plaintiff, if the defence statement is not filed on

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 182 of 1923

Serial No.

1.4.24. For this reason, the M.C. requests that the defence statement may be returned very early, after it is approved by the Govt. Advocate.

43) The Govt. Advocate to whom it was sent, has returned it stating that it is suitable and may be approved.

44) Draft retg. the defence statt. is put up for approval.

SD 24.3.24 BL 24.3.24

24.3.24.

6/8 24.3.24. 2nd member

45) 24/3

25/3

46) 58M

24.3.24.

13 To the Muzrai Commr. No. 1318 d. 25.3.24.
Returns duly approved the draft written stt. sent with his letter d. 11th March 1924 & requests that the same may be filed in the Court on the date fixed.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

C 340
1700

16 821
Muz

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore, Dated 8th January 1924.

21926

From

K. Chandy, Esq, B.A.
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore. Muz. 182-23-16

To

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq, B.A.,
Secretary to Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Muz

Sir,

Immediate

I have the honour to submit herewith for the information of Government copy of letter C.No.340 of 22-23 dated 8th January 1924 to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District requesting him to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor, Mysore to contest the O.S.No. 7 of 23-24 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore, instituted by Rangachar, Inamdar of Ukkalagere, about the possession of certain lands endowed to Sri Venugopalaswami temple at the place.

The Chief Secretary to Government, Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and the Amildar of T.Narasipur have been impleaded as Defendants, in the suit.

2. A copy of the District Judge's letter No.XX 1325 dated 4th January 1924 is also sent.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

M.A.N.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore,

Immediate. Bangalore, Dated 8th January 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esq, B.A.
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.340 of 22-23 dated 16th November 1923 I have the honour to forward herewith duplicate copy of a letter No.XX 1325 dated 4th January 1924 together with its enclosures received from the District Judge, Mysore, intimating that Rangachar, Inamdar of Ukkalagere, has instituted a suit for a declaration that S.Nos.374,375,376 and 378 of Ukkalagere Village are not the properties of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangarajpur and for a permanent injunction restraining the Government officers from interfering with the said lands and for damages of Rs.20/- and to request that the Public Prosecutor of Mysore may be instructed to contest the suit on behalf of Defendants 1-4 mentioned in the plaint, all available papers and information being placed at his disposal. If you consider that the time is very short, the Public Prosecutor may be requested to appear on the 18th instant and obtain a short adjournment. The action taken may kindly be intimated early.

2. The detailed information called for in the several communications of this office may be furnished early.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Signed) K Chandy
Muzrai Commissioner.

MAN. Copy

For Muz Commissioner

From

The District Judge, Mysore Division,
Mysore.

To
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated 4th January 1924.

~~De~~ SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that one Rangachar son of Srisailadesikachar, Inamdar of Ukkalagere, Sosale Hobli, T. Narasipur taluk has instituted a suit againt you for a declaration that the lands described in the schedule annexed to the plaint a copy of which is herewith forwarded for service are not the properties of the Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangapuri and for a permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering with the said lands and for damages Rs.20/- you are hereby requested to appear in this court in person or by a pleader duly instructed and able to answer all material questions relating to the suit or who shall be accompanied by some person able to answer all such question on the 18th day of January 1924 at 11 o' clock in the forenoon to answer the claim and you are also requested to produce on that day all the documents upon which you intend to rely in support of your defence.

I may also state that in default of your appearance on the day before mentioned the suit will be heard and determined in your absence.

If you should think that your witness will not attend of their own accord to give evidence before the court or the day fixed for the purpose you can have them summoned from this court on applying to the court and on depositing the necessary expenses.

If you were to admit the claim you will kindly arrange to have the claim satisfied together with the costs of the suit to avoid the execution of the decree which may be passed in the suit.

I have the honour to be etc,

Sd. G. Sreenivasa Iyer.
District Judge,

" C O P Y"

Muzrai Commissioner.
8/1/24

820
My

My/82-23-2

From,
The District Judge, Mysore Division,
Mysore

To,
The [illegible]
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

Dated 4 1 24.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that one [illegible] son of [illegible], Inamdar of [illegible], [illegible], has instituted a suit against you for a declaration that the lands described in the schedule annexed to the plaint, a copy of which is herewith forwarded for service, are not the properties of the [illegible] temple at [illegible] and for a permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering with the said lands and for [illegible] Rs.20-; you are hereby requested to appear in this Court in person or by a pleader duly instructed and able to answer all material questions relating to the suit, or who shall be accompanied by some person able to answer all such questions on the 16th day of January 1924 at 11 o'clock in the forenoon to answer the claim and you are also directed to produce on that day all the documents upon which you intend to rely in support of your defence.

I may also state that in default of your appearance on the day before mentioned, the suit will be heard and determined in your absence.

If you should think that your witnesses will not attend of their own accord to give evidence before the Court on the day fixed for the purpose you can have summons issued from this Court on applying to the Court and on deposit of the necessary expenses.

If you were to admit the claim you will [illegible] to have the claim satisfied together with the costs of the suit to avoid the execution of the decree, which may be passed in the suit.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

District Judge.

BJn/6

Muz 4]

SUBJECT……………………………………………

Diary No. of letter replied to 821

Section Muz.
File No. 182-23.
Serial No. 9.

Muzrai Secretariat
(Muz)
, the 192 .

No. 1083
One

Date of issue 24.1.24

Signed by Mr T Ramaiya

To

The District Judge, Mysore
Division, Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith the original your letter no XX/1324 d 4.1.24, duly endorsed, in the matter of the suit OS 7/23-24 by one Rangachar, son of Srisailadesikachar, Inamdar of Ukkalagere, Sosale Hobli, T. Narsipur Taluk, as ~~desired by you~~.

I have etc
23.1.
for Secretary
Rev: Deptt

89
23.1.24

over.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

Diary No. of letter replied to 821

Section Muz.
File No. 182-23.
Serial No. 4.

Muzrai Secretariat
(Muz)
, the 192 .

No. 1014

Date of issue 24.1.24

Signed by Mr T. Ramaiya

To

The Muz: Comr. in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no - C. 340 of 22-23 d/ 8.1.24 in the matter of O.S. no. 7 of 23-4 on the file of the Distt Judge's Court, Mysore, instituted by Rangachar Inamdar of Ukkalagere, about the possession of certain lands endowed to Sri Venugopalaswami temple at the place, I am directed to state that the original summons addressed to the Ch: Secretary, has

over.

been returned duly endorsed, as requested by the Dist^t Judge -

Your action in instructing the Dy: Com^r to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor of Mysore to contest the suit on behalf of Defendants 1-4 and to obtain an adjournment, if necessary, is approved.

I am to request that a full report of the facts of the case may be subd within a fortnight for the orders of Govt. As the case comes up for hearing in the meanwhile, the Public Prosecutor may be asked to move the court for an adjournment. I have etc

23 1'24.
for Secretary.
Rev: Deptt

SD
23.1.24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

24088

26

Immediate

C 340 9 23

182-23-5

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore,
Bangalore, Dated 25th January 1924.

From

K. Chandy, Esq, B.A.
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

& REV.
JAN 1924

To,

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq, B.A.
Secretary to Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.340/23-24 dated 8th January 1924, ~~I have the honour to submit~~ and with reference to your letter No.1014/M.F.182-23-4 dated 24th January 1924, I have the honour to submit herewith copy of letter Ref.C.No.9/23-24 dated 22nd January 1924 together with a copy of Sub Division Officer's report from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District reporting among other matters, that O.S.No.7 of 23-24 on the file of the District Judge's Court, Mysore, relative to the ownership of certain S.Nos. belonging to Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangarajpur T.Narsipur has been adjourned to 4th February 1924 for filing the defence.

2. The Deputy Commissioner has been requested to send a copy of the defence filed in the Court and on its receipt the same will be submitted for the information of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

MAN.

Letter No.9 dated 22nd January 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In continuation of this office letter No.C.9/23-24 dated 9/10th January 1924 re the suit filed in the District Judge's Court by one by Rangachar, Inamdar of Ukkalgere, against the Deputy Commissioner and others for the recovery of the possession of certain Temple inam lands belonging to the Sri Venugopala Swamy Temple at Srirangarajapur T.Narsipur Taluk I have the honour to state that the Public Prosecutor, Mysore writes in his letter of the 19th instant, that the case which was posted to 18th January 1924 for hearing has been adjourned to 4th February 1924 for filing the defence.

2. The connected file of vernacular records relating to the case received from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud on the day before is being sent to the Public Prosecutor to-day with instructions to carefully go through the records and prepare the defence. The Amildar of T.Narsipur Taluk has also been directed to send as desired by the Public Prosecutor at least two days beforethe date of hearing a responsible official well conversant with the facts of the case, to help the Public Prosecutor in studying the case and preparing the defence.

3. Further the petition from the residents of Srirangarajapur Agrahar stating that if they are given an opportunity they are prepared to prove that the lands under dispute are Temple property etc, received with your letter No.C.340/22-23 dated 17th instant has also been sent separately to the Public Prosecutor with instructions to avail himself with advantage, of the services offered by the petitioners and to summon them or some of them atleast, if necessary to collect evidence in support of the defence. The petitioners have also been given an endorsement to the effect that their petition has been referred to the Public Prosecutor for necessary action and that they may approach him before the date of hearing with all the available records and evidences to prove their statement.

4. As regards the detailed report on certain points raised in your letter No.C.340/22-23 dated 12th July 1923 about the lands in question I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's report No.H.C.26/23-24 dated 17th January 1924 furnishing

information on all the points after necessary enquiry and a personal inspection of the spot on the morning of the 16th instant.

It is seen therefrom that the lands in question were endowed to the Temple by the late Jodidar Karur Srinivasachar who is said to be the founder of the Agrahar. The present inamdar Rangachar, is I think only entitled to the management of the affairs of the temple and of its endowed lands and not to the ownership of the land itself and that too, so long as he kept the temple in good repair and its management in a satisfactory condition. As Rangachar did not act up to the conditions referred to above, it was recommended more than once that the institution with its lands may betaken under Government management. Moreover even during the course of the present enquiry by the Sub Division Officer Rangachar has not adduced any proof of title and his claim to the suit lands.

5. The whole file is placed at the disposal of the Public Prosecutor who should be able to successfully defend the suit.

" COPY"

For Muzrai Commissioner. 26/1/24

Report No.R.C.26/23-24 dated,Camp Ramanathapur Hurdi,T. Narsipur Taluk 17th January 1924 from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud to the Deputy Commissioner,Mysore District.

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner,Mysore District with reference to correspondence ending with District Office No.9/Muz dated 18th July 1923.

Report is called for on the following points:==

1. Whether maji Nos.374,375,376 and 378 of Ukkalgere village, come within the purview of Government Order No.5026-8 dated 26th April 1907 and if so, whether they were handed over to the possession of Mr.Rangachar.

2.The exact authority for considering the above lands as belonging to Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Srirangarajapura.

3.Inspect the temple and report whether the institution is a useful one worthy of restoration.

4. If the temple is not considered to be important proposals for withdrawing the cash grant and treating the temple as a non-muzrai institution may be submitted.

As ordered by the Revenue Commissioner,Sri Venugopalaswamy temple was inspected by me on the morning of 16-1-24. Besides the Amildar, the principle residents of Srirangaraja Agrahar were present.

Srirangaraja Agrahar is a dakla village of Ukkalgere Jodi village, Sosale hobli, T.Narsipur Taluk. The dakla village is mostly in habited by ~~Srivaish~~ srivaishnava brahmins, who happen to be the near relations of Mr.Rangachar, Jodidar of Ukkalgere village. There appears to be some misunderstanding between the Jodidar and his relations in Srirangarajapura.

This temple was built by Jodidar Karur Srinivasachar grandfather of petitioner Rangachar. He was the founder of Srirangarajapur Agrahar. At his instance H.H. the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur G.C.S.I sanctioned in May 1917 the payment of an annual tasdik of 150 Pagodas for the upkeep of the temple. This grant was subsequently reduced to Rs.173-15-0 per annu

Karur ~~Sxm~~ Srinivasachar made a free gift of 9 candies of wet lands in Kaggalipur Somanathapur and Ukkalgere Villages. The temple affairs were being managed by the Jodidars, On receipt of complaints from time to time that the temple affairs were being mismanaged and temple lands alienated, the Muzrai Commissioner ordered in his No.C.340/ dated 15-12-32 that the temple with its endowment should betaken under Government management, that the endowed lands should betaken possession of and leased out and that proposals should be submitted for the fixing of a scale of expenditure and for repairing the temple.

With reference to the above order Mr.Rangachar petitioner and Jodidar contends that Survey Nos.374,375,376 and 378 of Ukkalgere Village were in the possession of Ukkalgere Jodidars and that the resumption order should not affect these lands.

I was able to elicit from local enquiries that these lands are locally known as ತುಬಿನ ತೊಟ್ಟಿ ಗದ್ದೆ. and that they formed part of the free gift made in favour of the temple by late Jodidar Karur Srinivasachar grandfather of petitioner Rangachar and the founder of Srirangarajapur Agrahar. There was no formal Deed of Gift. Successive Jodidars held the management of the temple and they applied among other resources the profits earned from the endowed lands including the lands in dispute for services in Sri Venugopalaswamy temple. Among the deponents the statement of Mr.Sundra Sudarsnachar is pertinent as he is of 90 years in age. He is now alive and is a kinsman of the original founder. He has deposed that the temple affairs were managed by him for some time and that the block of wet land commonly called ತುಬಿನ ಗದ್ದೆ. formed part of the endowment made by Karur Srinivasa Char (Ferist No.81). In corrobarating that "Tubina Thotti Gadde" lands were endowed by Srinivasa Char shanboug Pattabhiramiah has produced accounts relating to 1881-82 and 1888-89(Ferist Nos.67

and 81/3.

The recorded evidence placed in the file and local enquires go to show that the lands in dispute formed part of the original endowment. Rangachar has not adduced any proof of title and his claim that these lands belong to him as the Inamdar cannot be admitted as the Jodidars held them as Managers of the temple.

Sri Venugopala swamy temple is situated in the midst of a populous centre on the eastern bank of the Cauvery River. I made enquiries about the usefulness of this temple during the Huzur Jamabandi. This temple has many devotees in Sosale and Narsipur Hoblies and that it is held in high estimation.

When I inspected the temple the marginally noted items required urgent repairs. An estimate for Rs.1,376/- was prepared in August 1917.(Ferist No.93) The temple has further deteriorated owing to continued apathy on the part of the Inamdar Manager and the urgent repairs may now amount to Rs.2,000/-.

1.Repairing and plasteringof the Compound wall.
2.Repairing and fixing of main and Gardhagudi repairs
3.Repairs to Yagasala
4.Repairing of cracks on the roof

There was some regretable delay in submitting the report. The file wasreceived from the Taluk office on 10-1-24.

"COPY"

Muzrai Commissioner.
26/1/24

25785 Immediate 32 974

No. C 340 ~~9~~ 23-4 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Mr

Dated Bangalore, 11th February 1924.
(D 904)

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

FEB 1924

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

A
11/2

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter C.No.340/22-23 dated 26th January 1924, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter --- Ref.C.No.9/23-24 dated 9th February 1924 together with a copy of its enclosure from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, intimating - that the original suit No.7 of 23-24 on the file of the District ---- Judge's Court, Mysore, stands adjourned to 4th March 1924 etc., and to request that the original files relating to the issue of Government orders Nos.6104-229 dated 29th January 1886, 151-8/M.F.17-94 dated -- 14th August 1894 and 5026-28 dated 26th April 1907 together with all connected papers regarding the management of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangarajapur, T.Narsipur Taluk, may be sent for reference.

Copies of material papers will be made and sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for transmission to the Public Prosecutor, Mysore and the files returned to you.

2. As the defence statement has to be filed on the 4th proximo, the draft of which has to be drawn up by the Public Prosecutor Mysore with reference to the said papers and subjected to the scrutiny of the Government Advocate, if necessary, I request the favour of ----- immediate compliance.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.Ref.9/23-24 dated 9th February 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysor

----

"With reference to the correspondence ending with your order -- No.C.340/22-23 dated the 25/26th January 1924 re the suit filed by Mr. Ukkalagere Rangachar in respect of certain Temple lands in T.Narasipur Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of Public Prosecutors letter dated 8th instant stating thatthe case was adjourned to 4th March 1924 for filing the defence and requesting to obtain and forward certair connected papers relating to the case which may be available either in your office or with the Government.

I request that you may beso good as to arrange for the forwardal of the records asked for by the Public Prosecutor at a very early date, with a view to enable him to prepare the defence statement in time. I also think it is better to have the statement prepared by the Public -- Prosecutor, duly approved by Government after the Government Advocate's scrutiny, if necessary, before it is filed in the court. Immediate transmission of the records desired by the Public Prosecutor, is therefore requested.

All the available records pertaining to the case that were available in this and the Taluk offices have been placed at his disposal, as already reported."

"Copy"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

11/2/34

KCR.

Letter No.266/23-24 dated the 8th February 1924 from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

"O.S.No.7 of 23-24 Ukkalagere Rangachar--

Chief Secretary and 3 others -- was for hearing on 4-2-24. The Amildar of T.Narasipur saw me on Sunday last in connection with the above case. In the records sent to me, many an important document, referred to in the records is not forthcoming. The Inam Register for the Ukkalagere grama is a document which may throw considerable light over the case. On inquiry I learn that records pertaining to the --- case on hand, may be had from the Muzrai Commissioner's office. I could not prepare a written statement without making a careful study of all relevant records. So on the 4th instant, I got an adjournment and the case is posted to the 4th of March. Before the 4th March, I must have the written statement ready to be filed in court. The --- written statement has to be sent to Government for approval before --- filing in court. So there is no time to be lost. If an immediate requisition is made to the Muzrai Commissioner's Office and all -- records in the said office, relating to the subject matter of suit, be got and sent to me, I shall prepare the defence and send the same or to you."

"Copy"

for Muzrai Commissioner.

11/2/32

KSR.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..

Diary No. of letter replied to 974

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Muz)
, the 191 .

Date of issue 18/2/24

Signed by M P Ramaiya.

Section Muz.
File No. 182-23.
Serial No. 7

No. 1084.

To

The Muzrai Comr. in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter no. C 340 of 23-4 & 11-2-24 requesting that certain original files regarding the management of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangaraj- T. Narsipur Taluk, may be sent for refce, I am directed to request that a full and self- [contained

over.

report about the facts of the case may be obtained subd, as neither the S. D. O' report nor the Dy. Commrs letter received with your letter of 25/26.. Jany 24 gives ~~such a history~~ a full & self contained history of the case.

Pending the subn of such a report & issue of the orders of Govt thereon, I am to request you to instruct the Public Prosecutor to move the court and obtain an adjournment ~~of the case.~~

I have etc
16.2.24.
for Secretary
Rev: Deptt

89/16.2.24 No. 1085 of 18-2-24
Copy to the Public Prosr, Madras
for infn.
16.2.24.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

27338 Immediate

No. C 340 9rs Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
[illegible]

Dated Bangalore, 25th February 1924.

1040
Muz
Mr 182-23-8

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the instructions conveyed in your letter No.1084/M.R.182-23-7 dated 18th February 1924 relative to the possession and enjoyment of certain survey nos.in Ukkalagere village belonging to Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangarajapur in the T. Narasipur Taluk have been intimated to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for communication to the Public Prosecutor. on a separate reference (copy enclosed) received from the Public Prosecutor in this office the District Judge has also been requested to grant some more time for the filing of the defence statement. A full and self-contained report about the facts of the case has been called for from the Deputy Commissioner and will be submitted as soon as received.

2. In the meanwhile the Public Prosecutor requests that the -- connected file of papers may be sent to him for preparing the --- defence statement. I request therefore that the files requisitioned for in this office letter of the 11th instant may kindly be transmitted early.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K Chandy
Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.C.340/22-23 dated 19th February 1924 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District.

"With reference to your letter Ref.C.No.9/23-24 dated 9th February 1924, regarding the possession of certain lands in Ukkalagere village, T.Narasipur Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No.1084/M.F.182-23-7 dated 18th February 1924 from the Revenue Secretary to Government and to request that the report therein called for may be sent up very early, the Public Prosecutor being instructed to obtain a further adjournment."

"Copy"

f. Muzrai Commissioner.
25/2/24

KSR.

Immediate

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
No. Dated, Mysore 7th March 1924.

From

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

K. Chandy, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai ~~Revenue~~ Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No.C.340/22-23 dated 19th February 1924 calling for full and ~~a~~ self-contained report about the facts of the case relating to the management of the Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple at Srirangarajapur, T.Narsipur Taluk, and the possession of certain lands belonging to the above institution, I have the honour to state as follows:-

✓ The above temple is minor Muzrai institution enjoying an annual cash grant of Rs173-15-0. The temple has also some land endowment made by the late Karur Srinivasa Char, Jodidar of Ukkalagere, who was said to be the founder of the institution. The manggement of the temple with its endowed properties was vested with the descendents of the said Karur Srinivas Char. Government in their order No.6104-229 dated 29th January 1886 directed that the management of the institution in question might be left in the hands of Srinivasa Raghavachar as being a person most interested in keeping up the Temple built and endowed by his ancestor, the Muzrai ~~and~~ Officer and the Taluk officials interfering the least, in the management.

In 1892, the said Srinivasaraghavachar complained before the Government of the interference of the District Muzrai Officer in the manggement of the temple, contrary to the instructions conveyed in the above order. A report was thereon called for, from the Deputy Commissioner in the matter. The Deputy Commissioner, C 360/22-23

Immediate

From Muz. 182-23-10.
The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

To The Muzrai Commissioner
in Bangalore

Revenue Secretariat
MAR 1924
D. 1106

Dated [illegible] March 192[illegible]
Despatched. — — Do — — —
8/3/24 [illegible]
General No. [illegible]

Mys Palace. — —

- - - - - 1924 - - - - - - - - - - -

Subject

Submits a full and Self-contained report relating to the management of the Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple at Srirangaraj T. Narasipur Taluk.

Immediate C 360/22-23.

Forwarded with Compliments to Rev Secy to Govt with refce to his No $\frac{1084}{\text{RA }182\text{-}23\text{-}7}$ d 18.2.24.

8/3
[illegible]
Muz Comr

ST A 3

after a personal enquiry of the parties concerned, and inspection of the Agrahar and the temple, reported on 20th April 1894 bringing to the notice of Government, ~~of~~ the several irregularities in the management of the temple affairs by Srinivas Raghavachar, a man reported to have been young, inexperienced, and reckless of his character and reputation. The Deputy Commissioner was further of opinion that there was little chance of making proper arrangements for conduct of worship &c., in the temple, unless Government were disposed to reconsider their order of 1886 and to introduce certain reforms. Government thereon passed orders on 14th August 1894 (No.151-8- Muz.F.17-94) to the effect that their order of 1886 indicated merely what was ~~experiencet~~ expedient, and that the policy of minimum interference by the District Muzrai Department could be adhered to only so long as the yejman conducted himself properly and commanded the respect of his neighbours, and that the theory of exclusive right to manage the temple set up by Srinivasaraghavachar could not be accepted, the temple being a Government Muzrai Institution. Affairs seem to have gone on quietly til about the year 1905 with regard to the management of the institution and its endowed properties. In 1905, petitions from residents of Srirangarajpur Agrahar, bringing to notice the mismanagement of the temple and its endowments, began to pour in. Report was called for by Government on these petitions. A detailed report was submitted under this office No.121 dated 21st August 1905, (Copy enclosed for reference Flag A.) on the several points alleged in the petitions. Government in their letter No. 2807 dated 2nd January 1906 called for submission of certain records relating to the case for decision of the question whether the manggement of the institution should continue in the hands of the then manager Srinivasaraghavachar as prayed for in his petitions or whether he should be divested of it on the score of mismanagement on his part. The Deputy Commissioner in submitting the records reported in his letter No.200 dated 9/11th November 1906 that the Manager Srinivasaraghavachar died on 27th February 1906, that Rangachar, brother of the late Manager while admitting that his deceased brother mismanaged the temple affairs, stated that if were entrusted with the management of the temple he would set matters right &c. Both the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer having supported Rangachar's case, a recommendation was made by this office that as a trial for a period of 5 years Rangachar might be appointed as the manager of the temple. Government in their order No.5026-8 dated 26th April 1907 approved of the recommendation made by this office subject to certain conditions (Vide copy of Government order Flag B.).

Matters seem to have gone on smoothly till the year 1909. From 1909, again, petition from the residents of the place re: the mismanagement by Manager Rangachar of the temple affairs and of the endowed inam lands began ~~to~~ pouring in. After necessary enquiry, a report was submitted to Government, in this office letter No.506 dated the 30th March 1916 stating that the temple was in ruins, that the Agrahar people had settled at Kolehundi village, a mile from Agrahar, that the village was divided in factions, that the continuance of the management by Rangachar any longer would not be conducive to the smooth working of the temple affairs and that under the circumstances, the management of the temple might be taken over by Government &c. Government called for further information on the x marginally noted points before orders could be passed for the assumption of management. While furnishing this information in this office letter No.166 of 16-17 dated 10th May 1920, (Copy enclosed Flag C) it was recommended that as Rangachar did not act up to the conditions laid in Government order of 26-4-1907, the management of the temple with its lands might be taken up by Government. Again, Government in their letter No.302/muz.508-18-9 dated 27th July 1920 called for submission of a statement shewing the particulars of extent, assessment, and present occupency of each bit of land belonging to the temple, with an estimate of the ~~a~~ probable income therefrom. This was also submitted to Government with this office letter of 7th November 1921, after obtaining it from the Amildar, a further report also being submitted on 19-9-22 as called for by Government

About the income and Expenditure and the condition of the temple building and the necessity for incurring immediate expenditure.

Government in their letter No.6158/Muz. 5 8-08-13 dated 23rd December 1921.

The Muzrai Commissioner in his order No.C.340/22-23 dated 12/15th December 1922 in approving of the recommendation made by this office with regard to the management of the temple with its endowments being taken over by Government, directed to take necessary action in the first place, for taking possession of and leasing out all the temple lands and to report the result. Proposals for fixing up a scale of expenditure for the institution together with an estimate for urgent repairs required to the temple were also called for. This order was communicated to the Taluk Authorities with instructions to take necessary steps to carry out the Muzrai Commissioner's order.

When steps were being taken by the Amildar to take possession of the endowed lands and to lease them out under the standing orders Inamdar Rangachar wired to Government on 30th June 1923 complaining that the Amildar of T.Narsipur had ordered the stay of cultivation of Maji Nos.374, 375, 376 and 378 of Ukkaligere, though they were his private properties, and not endowed to the temple in question, and praying for the issue of a stay order in the matter. This telegram was received in this office for a report with Muzrai Commissioner's memo No.C.340/22-23 dated 3-7-23. A petition was also received in this office on 3-7-23 from the said Rangachar, stating that the Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple under reference, is not a Muzrai institution, that the above lands referred to in his telegram never belonged to the above temple, but on the other hand, have always been in the possession and enjoyment by him and his ancestors, that the Muzrai Commissioner's order directing the dispossession of the lands from him (Rangachar) was an exparte one, and was not binding on him, that since there has a been dispute about the said lands a reference might be made to the District Judge, Mysore, under Section 4 of the Muzrai Regulation, and that in the meanwhile, the steps taken by the Taluk Amildar against the said lands might be stayed &c. The petitioner further stated that in spite of his request, all measures were adopted to disturb the possession of the lands from him, he will have to seek redress in the Court of Law and that his above petition might be treated as a notice under section 80 of the Civil Procedure Code. This was reported to the Muzrai Commissioner in this office letter No.C.9/23-24 dated 4/5th July 1923 with a brief Note on the facts of the case. Muzrai Commissioner, on a similar telegram by Rangachar, received by him, issued an endorsement on 6-7-23 that no stay order was called for in the matter. Still, in reply to this office letter of 5th July 23, the Muzrai Commissioner in his letter of 12-7-23 enquired whether the particular S.Nos. referred to in the petition of Rangachar (Viz. Taji Nos. 374,375,376, and 378 of Ukkalagere village) come within the purview of Government Order No.5026-8 dated 26th ~~July~~ April 1907, and if so, whether they were handed over to the possession of the petitioner in conformity with the said order of ~~the~~ Government. The Muzrai Commissioner further requested to be informed of the exact authority for considering them to be the lands belonging to the Temple in question and directed the issue of a stay order, if any doubt should be felt as to the proprietory rights of the temple to the lands. report was also called for as to the usefulness or otherwise of the institution, on account of its being situated in a depopulated village and whether the grant of lands and cash grant from Government, might be diverted to any other Muzrai Institution, the temple under reference being treated as a non-Muzrai Institution, only those lands endowed to it by the ancestors of the petitioner being retained for it. While inquiries were instituted on the points referred to in the Muzrai Commissioner's letter, a stay order was issued to the Amildar on 18-7-23 against the proceedings taken by him in respect of the lands under reference, in pursuance of the order of 15th Jany 923. This was also reported to the Muzrai Commissioner the same day i.e., 18th July 23. In the mean time Rangachar, in spite of a stay order issued, pending further inquiry into the matter, preferred to file a suit in the District Judge's Court, Mysore, against the Deputy Commissioner and others for a declaration that S.Nos.374.375,376 and378 in question, are not the properties of the temple under reference, and for permanent injunction restraining Government officers from interfering with the said lands (a copy of plaint filed by Nagachar is enclosed for reference flag). Under the instructions from the Muzrai Commissioner, the services of the Public Prosecutor, were engaged to defend the suit, all available records in this and the taluk offices having been placed at his disposal. The case came on for hearing in the District Court on 18th January 24. For want of time to go through the records and to file the defence an adjournment was obtained for the first time till 4th February 1924. While going through~~x~~ the records as the Public Prosecutor felt the necessity to refer to certain connected records to ~~xxx~~ be available either in your office or with Government, he sent in a requisition therefore to enable himself to prepare the defence statement. A further adjournment ~~xy~~ was obtained by the Public Prosecutor on this score till 4-3-1924. So, a reference was made to you, by this office in the matter ~~xx~~ on 9th February 24, requesting to arrange for the transmission of the records required by the Public Prosecutor. It is on this, a detailed and self contained history of the case was called for by Government and it is submitted herewith as desired in your letter under reference.

8 As regards the details called for in your letter No.C.340 of 22-23 dated 12th July 23 in respect of the suit lands, I have already submitted with this office letter No.C.9/23-24 dated 22nd January 24 a copy of Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's report No. H.C.26/23-24 dated 17-1-24 furnishing information on all the points raised.

9 The Public Prosecutor on being instructed under your orders to obtain a further adjournment pending submission of his detailed report and the issue of orders of Government thereon, reports in his letter No.288 dated 6-3-24 that on condition of his filing defence statement on the next adjourned date, the case has been posted to the 19th instant, that the Judge is not likely to grant any more adjournments, and that arrangements should be made to have the defence statement drawn up and accepted, if need be, by

Government, before the afore said date &c. and asks me to request you to kindly send immediately such of the records as may be available in your office, as there is not time to be lost. The request of the Public Prosecutor may kindly be complied with immediately.

I think the time given by the Court is too short to prepare and file the defence after obtaining the orders of Government as contemplated. A reference may, under the circumstances, be kindly caused to be made, if need be, to the District Judge, by Government direct,. to grant adjournment to a further date to allow sufficient time for the Government to pass orders in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

MKN

Letter No. 121 dated 21st August 1905 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Secretary to Government, --- Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

In reply to your official memorandum No.1471 dated the /9th November 1903 and subsequent communications as noted on the margin forwarding for report petitions received from Sreenivasa-Raghavachar and others bringing to notice the mismanagement of the Sri Venugopala Swamy Temple at Srirangarajpur in the T.Narasipur Taluk and its endowments, I have the honour to state as follows ; -

O.M. No.1877 dated 30th December 1903
O.M. No.2269 ----- 3rd February 1904
Muz.F.17-94
O.M. No.3181 dated 21st February 1905
O.M. No.2975 received on 17th February 1905

2. The marginally noted lands are said to have been endowed by the Inamdar for the benefit of the said Temple. Excepting Nos. 736,872 and 903 which are in the -- possession of the archaks, who have been cultivating them and taking the produce in return for the services rendered by them, the other numbers are reported to be in the possession of Srinivasa Raghavachar, the manager of the Temple.

	a. gs.	Rs.As.Ps.
S.No.374	1-16	5-8-0
375	2-14	8-0-0
376	2-11	8-0-0

situate under the Ukkalagere tank.

Magi No.229.3 ~~kolagas~~ Khandaga. 26-7-1 situate under the Kaggalipur tank of Dakle Somanathapur village.

~~Kolaga~~ Khandaga.

Magi No.1067	1-0-0	8-11-8
------- 736	1-6-4	7-14-4
-------- 872	0-11-11	2-12-10
---------903	1-0-0	6-3-9

all situate under the Ramaswami channel, Somanathapur village.

3. After Thorar people removed themselves to other places, the sites to the west and north of the Temple whereon they had built some houses, and were paying the house tax to the Temple, are said to have been converted by the manager into a plantain gardenm which fetches an annual gutta of Rs.10/-. It is learnt that this garden is in the possession of the manager and the gutta amount not paid

to the Temple for the last five years.

4. Some kumbars are likewise said to have been living in houses built by them on the site behind the Temple on condition of paying to the Temple the house taxes and necessary earthenware required for the use of the Temple. On their shifting themselves to other places, the aforesaid site now in possession of the manager, is reported to have been converted into wet, and the produce therefrom viz., 12 kandies of paddy per annum utilised by himself thus depriving the income to the Temple for the last 15 years.

5. Prior to the appointment of Srinivasa Raghavachar as manager of the Temple, a gutta of about 25 kandies of paddy from the three survey Nos. under the Ukkalagere tank, the yield from which is now estimated at 50 kandies, and 16 kandies of paddy from Maji No. 1067 under the Ramaswamy Channel is said to have been paid to the Temple. After Srinivasa Raghavachar was appointed as manager, he is said to have stopped the payment of the gutta to the Temple.

6. From the Amildar's report it will be seen that the Temple was getting about 50 kandies of paddy from the lands situate under Somanathapur tank; that the yield from these lands for Shubhakruthu and Shobhakruthu does not seem to have been regularly paid to the Temple and that Kar crop has been raised during the year Krodhi.

7. The fact that S.Nos. 374, 375, and 376 had been attached by Srinivasa Rangachar ~~@@@@@@@@@@~~, Guardian to the minor Seshadri Narasimhachar, son of Hundi Krishnamachar in satisfaction of a --- decree held by him against the manager Srinivasa Raghavachar, having come to the notice of the T.Narasipur Taluk Amildar, a reference is said to have been made by him to the District Judge, pointing out to him that the said lands cannot be sold as they belong to the Temple

8. No other lands are reported to have been

attached.

9. Of the 16 Vrittidars in the village, 9 are reported to be living in the village, while the remaining 7 have left the place to reside elsewhere.

10. The Amildar in his report No.9 dated 9th August 1904 reports that the compound wall and " Garbhankana " are in need of repairs and recommends that the right to cultivate the Inam lands be ordered to be sold as is done in the case of other Temples

11. The petitions received with the above are herewith retransmitted together with the file of papers bearing on the subject and ending with the T.Narasipur Taluk Amildar's report No. 314/548 dated 24th May 1905.

" Copy

Dated 7th March 24.

f Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

MUZRAI

Read : -

Government Pro. No.151-8/Muz.F.17-94 dated 14th August 1894, passing orders on the management of the Sri Venugopala Swamy Temple at Sreerangarajpur Agrahar, in the T.Narasipur Taluk.

Memorial registered No.1471/3083 dated 7th February 1905, addressed to H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore by Sreenivasa ~~Rangachar~~ Raghavachar, Parupathegar of the Temple, complaining of the mismanagement of the Temple affairs by Sreenivasa Raghavachar, Manger of the Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple at Srirangarajapur.

Correspondence ending with letter No.200 dated 9th November 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, reporting on the above.

And,

Docket No.55 dated 3rd October 1906, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, for warding an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the Inam lands endowed to the institution.

Order thereon No. 5026-8 dated, Bangalore, 28th April 1907.

From the extract of the Inam Register received from the Inam Superintendent and ~~th~~ from the correspondence received from the Deputy Commissioner, it is observed that the Temple of Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple at Sreerangarajapur Agrahar in the T.Narasipur Taluk, is a Muzrai institution endowed with inam lands and also in the enjoyment of an annual cash Tasdik of Rs.173-15-0. In the order of the 14th August 1894 read above, it was directed that Government would not object to the manager Sreenivasa Raghavachar doing what Dharmadarsis did in other Temple, and that the Government in the Muzrai Department, would be sole judge of the way in which he discharged his duties. But complaints of mismanagement and alienation of the Temple Inam lands by the said manager have been received and he is reported to have since died.

The Deputy Commissioner states that the deceased's brother Rangachar prays, that if the management of the Temple be given over to him, he would set matters right and accordingly recommends the grant of his prayer temporarily for a period of 2 years. His recommendation is approved subject to the following conditions : -

1) that Rangachar should redeem the alienated Temple lands within a period of one year,

2) that the income derived from the sites and other lands be-longing to the Temple which his deceased brother was reported to have been unauthorisedly enjoying, should hereafter be utilised solely for the benefit of the instituion,

3) and that the Temple which is said to be in need of repairs should be put in order as early as possible.

Sd. L Ananthaswamy Rao,
Secretary.

" Copy "

Dated 7th March 1924.

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Letter No.C.166/16-17 dated 10th ~~April~~ May 1920 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Secretary to Government, Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.323/Muz.508-05-5 dated 27th July 1916, calling for further information re. the assumption of management of Sri Venugopala Swamy Temple at Srirangarajapuram, T.Narasipur Taluk, I have the honour to submit herewith the vernacular correspondence ending with the Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's yadi No.R.A.C.96/18-19.Muz. dated 5th April 1920.

From the records herewith submitted, it will be seen that according to G.O. No.5026-8/Muz. dated 26th April 1907, Rangachar, Jodidar of Ukkalagere etc., villages, was appointed as manager of the Temple and the lands belonging to it subject to the conditions as noted below :-

1) That Rangachar should redeem the alienated Temple lands within a period of one year,

2) That the income derived from the sites and other lands belonging to the temple which his deceased brother was reported to have been unauthorisedly enjoying, should hereafter be utilised solely for the benefit of the institution,

3) That the temple which is said to be in need of repairs should be put in order as early as possible.

On the application received from the people of Srirangarajapur, complaining against the mismanagement of the Temple, enquiries were made and the Temple was inspected by the Amildar. It was found that the Temple was in great disrepair and worship not conducted regularly. The manager, Rangachar, also petitioned on 22-3-1910 to the Amildar, stating that he had not been recently looking after the affairs of the Temple as he was away on his private business at Kumbakonum and that during this period the Parupathegar had incited people to complain against his management -- and that one of the lands belonging to the Temple in

Kaggalipura village, was given away to Kayam gutta tenure and that he was the present Khayamguttadar as the same was acquired by his deceased brother by sale, and was now in his right and enjoyment. One Sundarasudarsanachar who was the manager of the Temple for 20 or 25 years and ~~shanbog~~ shanbhoge Pattabhiramaiya who were enquired into the matter, deny the grant of the land on Khayamgutta tenure to Rangachar. This piece of land is now reported to be under the management of Government and has been leased out for 20 pallas ~~of paddy~~ a year

Further, the Amildar reports that the land belonging to the Temple in the Ukkalagere village is in the ~~management~~ enjoyment of Rangachar since 1909. On inspection he was unable to find out the exact situation of the land as Rangachar who is the Jodidar of the village, refused to give the correct information about its situation etc. The Survey and settlement has not been introduced into this village.

The other land in Somanathapur village is in the enjoyment of the Archak of the Temple and the realization is estimated to be 30 pallas of paddy a year.

A statement showing the income and expenditure has been prepared and submitted herewith for sanction tentatively for 2 years, till the lands situated in Ukkalagere village is resumed to Government.

An estimate for Rs.1376/- for repairing the Temple, received from the Amildar, is herewith submitted for sanction, the cost being met as follows : -

1) Rs.463-11-11 reported to be at the credit of the Temple on 1st July 1917,

2) the balance viz., Rs.912-4-1 to be met from State Grant.

As Rangachar did not act up to the conditions referred to above, both the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer recommend that the institution with its lands may be taken under Government management.

" Copy "

Dated7th March 1924

/- Deputy Commissioner.

మున్సిఫులు డిస్ట్రిక్టు జడ్జి [illegible]

[illegible]

వాది.
గంగాచారి.

ప్రతివాదులు [illegible]

(1) [illegible]
(2) [illegible]
(3) [illegible]
(4) [illegible]

డిక్రీ 12 ము [illegible]

వాది [illegible]

2. [illegible] 34 [illegible] 4 [illegible]

వాది [illegible] 26-6-23 [illegible]

(3) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] ప్రతివాదికి [illegible]

[illegible] 2వ ప్రతివా

దికి [illegible]

[illegible] ఆర్డర్ [illegible]

[illegible] 3/5-7-23 న [illegible]

[illegible]. 1వ ప్రతివాదికి [illegible]

[illegible] 2వ ప్రతివాదికి

[illegible]

[illegible] 2-7-23 న [illegible].

4. [illegible] 2-7-23 [illegible] వాదియు 2వ ప్రతి

వాదికి [illegible] ఆర్డరులు [illegible]

[illegible] 12వ [illegible]

[illegible] ఆర్డ

[illegible]. [illegible] 3వ

ప్రతివాదికి 6-7-23 [illegible]

[illegible] ఆర్డరులు [illegible].

5. [illegible] 9-7-23 [illegible] వాదియు [illegible]

[illegible] వాదియు [illegible]

[illegible] 4వ ప్రతివాది

[illegible]

[illegible] ఆర్డర [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

6. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

7. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

8. [illegible] 12-0-0 [illegible]
[illegible] 100-0-0 [illegible]
20-0-0 [illegible] 120-0-0
[illegible] 12-0-0 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible] ~~[illegible]~~
[illegible]
[illegible]

ಷೆಡ್ಯೂಲ್.

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 374
375
376
378/1 } [illegible].

[illegible] 3 [illegible].
[illegible].

[illegible] copy under A. S. R. [illegible]

— — u — —

"Copy"

7 3-1924.
~~for~~ Deputy Commr.
R Mysore dt.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

Diary No. of letter replied to ______

Section Muz.
File No. 182-23.
Serial No. 10.

Muzrai Secretariat
(Muz)
, the 192 .

No. 1268

Date of issue 17-3-24

Signed by for J. Ramaiya.

To

The District Judge, Mysore
Division, Mysore.

Sir,

Referring to the suit O.S. No. 7 of 23-4 on the file of your court, instituted against Govt. by Rangachar, Inamdar of Ukkalagere, about the possession of certain lands endowed to the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at the place, I am directed to state that the case which stood adjourned to 4th March for the

over.

Compd
80
17 3 24

filing of the defence statement on the case is reported to have been given a further short adjournment to 19th March, at the request of the Public Prosecutor. As the defence statement cannot be prepared and filed on that date, the time being very short, I am to request that the case may be adjourned to some date after the 1st May next ~~in the [illegible] last week~~ of ~~of May or~~ ~~June~~ May next.

I have etc

11.3.24.

Secretary
Rev: Deptt

11/3/24

11/3/24

Ic
17/3

List of enclosures.

No 1269 of ~~[illegible]~~ 17-3-24

Copy to the Mr Commr i.
[illegible] with reference to his
memo No C 840 of 22-23
of 8th March 1923
S O

Copied by

Examined by

Ke Sec

11/3/24

Immediate 28948

D 1123 (63)

U. 13. C 340 of 22-23 / for.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE & Muzrai COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Bangalore, 11th March 1924.

Mur 182-23-11

& REV. No.

21 1924

From

T 11/3

K. Chandy Esq., B.A.,
Revenue & Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my ~~letter~~ No. C.340 of 23-24 dated 8th March 1924 submitting a self-contained report in the matter of the lands endowed to the Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple at Srirangarajpur, T.Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith, for favour of approval of Government and kind return as early as possible, a draft copy of the written statement prepared by the Public Prosecutor, Mysore, and proposed to be filed before the District Judge, Mysore, on the 19th instant. The Deputy Commissioner in his letter forwarding the above draft (copy of his letter is attached hereto for kind perusal) requests the retransmission of the draft after approval before the 16th instant at the latest. The Government Advocate may be requested to scrutinise the papers of the case and the said draft before sanction is accorded to the statement being filed in the case.

2. The matter may be kindly treated as immediate and orders of Government communicated to me very early

3. Copy of the plaint is also placed with this

Letter No. Ref. C.9/23-24 dated 10th March 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In continuation of this office letter No.C.9/23-24 dated 7th March 1924 submitting a detailed report of the facts of the case relating to the management of the Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple at Srirangarajpur, T.Narsipur Taluk, and the possession of certain lands belonging to the above institution, I have the honor to submit herewith, a draft copy of the written statement prepared by the Public Prosecutor, Mysore, and received with his letter No.295 dated 8th March 1924 for acceptance. This is proposed to be filed before the District Judge Mysore, on the 19th instant, and the Public Prosecutor requests me to send it up to you for obtaining the approval of Government, before it is filed in the Court. I therefore request that you will be so good as to arrange for its retransmission after approval, before the 16th instant latest, with such alterations or amendments as are deemed necessary, with a view to enable me to have the fair copies thereof prepared and sent to the Public Prosecutor for being filed in the Court on the 19th instant. The Public Prosecutor further states that the Judge is rather very particular about the statements being filed on the above said date.

The matter may therefore be kindly treated as very urgent. The copy of the plaint received with the Public Prosecutor's letter is also enclosed for favour of reference and kind return.

" Copy ".

11.3.24
For Muzrai Commissioner.

M.B.R.

IMMEDIATE. 30014

No. C 3407 ms Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Mu. Dated Bangalore, 22nd March 1924.

REV. SECRETARIAT
22 MAR 1924
MUZRAI

D. No. 1163.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Muz

Sir,

4/3

With reference to your memo No.1269/M.F.182-23-10 dated 17th - March 1924, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of two letters Nos.9 of 23-24 dated 21st March 1924 from the De-puty Commissioner, Mysore District intimating that O.S.No.7 of 23-24 on the file of the District court, Mysore, has been adjourned to 1st April 1924 for --- defence and to request you to be so good as to return the defence -- statement with your approval for being filed on the 1st proximo, as otherwise the costs of the Plaintiff will have to be paid by us.

2. The favour of an early compliance is requested.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.9 dated 21st March 1924 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In continuation of this office letter No.C.[illegible]/23-24 of to-day re Ukkalarere Rangachar's case, I have the honour to forward herewith for information, a copy of the Public Prosecutor's letter No.304/23-24 dated 20th instant on the subject, since received to-day.

Letter No.304/23-24 dated 20th March 1924 from the Public Prosecutor, Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

" I havethe honour to inform you that the Muzrai case filed by Ukkalarere Jodidar Rangachar, has been again posted to the 1st April 1924. The Judge had to grant the prayed foradjournment by reason of special pleadings submitted. The Judge has given me notice that in the event of the defence statement not being filed on the 1st April 1924, I must be prepared to pay costs to the Plaintiff, as the case has been adjourned too often, by reason of our inconvanience. I hope you will please request Government to be good enough to expedite the despatch of the written statement drafted by me and sent by you for their approval and acceptance.

"C O P Y"

For Muzrai Commissioner. 22/3/24

(PTO)

Letter No.[illegible] dated 21st [illegible] Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner [illegible]

With reference to your memo No.[illegible] dated 17th March 1924 forwarding copy of [illegible] District Judge requesting the adjournment of the [illegible] case to some date after the 1st April [illegible], I [illegible] state that the Public Prosecutor [illegible] of the requisition by the Revenue Secretary, the [illegible] not pleased to grant an adjournment till after 1st [illegible] the case has been adjourned to 1st April 1924 for [illegible].

I therefore request that necessary orders of Government may kindly be obtained in the matter before the [illegible] date viz. 1st April 1924.

"C O P Y"

22/3/924

For Muzrai Commissioner.

..A.F.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT……………………………………………

Diary No. of letter replied to 1163

Section

File No. [illegible]

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

, the 192 .

No. [illegible]

Date of issue 25 3-24

Signed by M T [illegible]

To

The Muzrai Commr in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Referring to the correspondence ending with your letter no. C.340 of 22-23 d 22-3-24 stating that the case re lands endowed to the Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple at Srirangarappur, T. Narsipur Taluk on the file of the Distt Judge's Court, Mysore, has been adjourned to 1st April 24,

over.

for Defence, ~~and requesting that the defences statement may be returned, with the approval of Govt., for being filed in the Court on that date~~

I am directed to return herewith duly appd the draft written statt. Sent ~~here~~ for the ~~appl of Govt.~~ with your letter of the 11th March 24 and request that the same may be ~~forwarded~~ filed in the Court on the date fixed for the purpose.

~~the statement duly approved and to state that it has also been approved by the Govt. ~~Advo~~ Advocate.~~

I have etc

V 24.3.24.

Secretary
Rev: Deptt

SD
24.3.24

24/3/24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Order of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Reference:--

Correspondence ending with letter C.No.9 of 23-24 dated 3rd January 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, stating that O.S.No.7 of 23-24 (Ukkalagere Rangachar ~~Rs~~. Deputy Commissioner and others) on the file of the District Judge's -- Court, Mysore, is posted to 2-2-25 for trial and soliciting --- sanction to an advance of Rs.20/- for summoning witnesses, the charge being met from the funds of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Srirangarajapur, as the case is being conducted in the interest of this Institution.

Order No. C. 340 of 22-23

Dated Bangalore, 6th January 1925.

Sanctioned, the charge being met as proposed.

2. The amount will be drawn by the Deputy Commissioner and -- advanced to the Public Prosecutor subject to adjustment in due -- course.

29715

(Sd.) K. Chandy,
Muzrai Commissioner.

8. JAN. 1925

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.
" Comptroller to Government.

KSR.

No. C. 340 of 22-23 24

9-JAN 1925
MUZRAI

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the -- Secretary to Government, Revenue Department for information, with reference to correspondence ending with his letter No.1318/M.F. 182-23-13 dated 25th March 1924.

This will be placed in the [illegible] file.
8/1/25

KSR.

8.1.25

for Muzrai Commissioner.

Recd 6/7/25